



Widening the view on teacher-child relationships: the Teacher Relationship Interview (TRI)

Jantine Spilt
Helma Koomen

Department of Education
University of Amsterdam



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Need of multiple methods: why interviews?

- Mental representations / internal working models (Pianta et.al., 2003)
- Unconscious processes
- Indirect methods: interviews (e.g., Maier et.al., 2004)



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Teacher Relationship Interview

(TRI; Pianta, 1999)

- Semi-structured interview
- Informant = teacher
- Relationship with a specific student
- 12 questions
- about 30 minutes



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Questions TRI

Negative and positive experiences, and recent examples:

'Describe a time in the last week when you and your child really clicked'

Teacher roles:

'Can you tell about a situation when the child displayed serious misbehavior?'

Emotional states:

'How did you feel in that moment / How do you think <name child> felt'



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Three main dimensions of representational models:

- Content Area (*what*)
- Affective Area (*emotions*)
- Processing Area (*how*)



Content Area

- Sensitivity of Discipline
- Secure Base
- Perspective Taking
- Intentionality / Agency





Content Area

- Sensitivity of Discipline
- Secure Base
- Perspective Taking
- Intentionality

>>**Sensitive Practices**
Factor loadings > .72
Cronbach's alpha = .86



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Affective Area

- Positive Affect
- Anger / hostility
- Helplessness



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Processing Area

- Neutralizing Negative Affect
- Coherence



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Previous research TRI

(Stuhlman & Pianta, 2002)

- Leading quality: negative affect (anger)
Associations with observed teacher-child interactions

Unexplored questions:

- Role of perceived *externalizing behavior*?
- Associations with *relational conflict* (STRS)?



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Present study

- Convergence TRI and STRS
- Role of *externalizing problems*:
Teacher interviewed about two students:
 - an average child in class
 - most disruptive child in class



Research questions:

1. Does TRI reveal differences in t-c relationship quality for average versus disruptive children?
2. Are TRI-scales related to relationship qualities measured with STRS?
3. *Does TRI assesses (a) primarily teacher-characteristics, or (b) unique features of t-c relationship?*





Sample

- 45 teachers (one male)
- 90 kindergarten children (46 boys)

Two children per teacher:

- Average child & most disruptive child
- Same gender
- Below 1 *SD* on internalizing behavior



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Procedure

- Teachers not informed about selection procedure
- Teachers informed about target child only just before start interview
- Interviews in random order
- Private setting



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Instruments

Behavior Questionnaire for two- to six year olds
(*BQTSYO-M; Thijs et.al., 2004*)

- Externalizing behavior ($\alpha = .91$)

Dutch STRS
(*Koomen et.al., 2007*)

- Short version
- Closeness, Conflict, and Dependency ($\alpha = .75-.86$)



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Instruments (2)

Teacher Relationship Interview
(*TRI; version obtained from Pianta by e-mail in 2003*)

- Content area: Sensitive practices
- Affective area: Positive affect, Anger, Helplessness
- Processing area: Neutralizing Negative Affect, Coherence



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Instruments (2)

Coding TRI scales

- Scale 1-7 (Coherence: 1-5)
- Whole interview
- Random order
- Independent coders, not aware of behavioral status child
- Interrater agreement:
.50 (neutralizing) - .82 (negative affect)



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



RESULTS



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



1. Group mean-differences TRI

Analyses: paired t-tests

Helplessness

- More helplessness for externalizing children
- $d = .50$

Anger

- More anger for externalizing children
- $d = .70$



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



2. TRI-STRS

Multilevel regression analyses:

- Dependent variables: Closeness, Conflict, Dependency
- Between-group difference: dummy variable (externalizing group = 1)
- Predictors: TRI-scales (standardized scores)



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



	Closeness B (SE)	Conflict B (SE)	Depend. B (SE)
Externalizing (dummy)		1.64 (.12)	1.95 (.11)
Sensitive pract.			
Positive affect	.15 (.06)**		
Helplessness	-.19 (.06)**		
Anger		.30 (.09)**	
Neutralizing		.17 (.10)*	
Coherence		.30 (.09)**	



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



3. Teacher of dyadic features?

Analyses: IntraClassCorrelations

Sensitive practices	.44**
Positive affect	ns
Helplessness	ns
Anger	ns
Neutralizing	.57**
Coherence	ns



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



DISCUSSION



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Summary of main findings TRI

1. Role of externalizing behavior: more anger and helplessness
2. Affective Area and Processing Area:
 - Features of dyadic relationship
 - Moderate convergence with Closeness and Conflict
3. Content Area:
 - Features of teacher *and* relationship
 - Not uniquely related to STRS



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives



Conclusion

- In comparison to STRS: TRI provides distinct but related information
- Highlights emotional processes



Directions future research

- Replication in a large sample
- Predictive value TRI for well-being child
- Usefulness TRI for practice of consultation (Stuhlman & Pianta, 2002; Koomen et al., 2003)





Thank you
for your attention!

Jantine Spilt

<http://home.medewerker.uva.nl/j.l.spilt/>

j.l.spilt@uva.nl



Assessing teacher-child relationship quality using multiple methods and perspectives